

Sr. No.210/2

**CM Nos.90 and 91-CWP of 2015 and
RA-CW-3 of 2015 in
CWP No.16006 of 1993**

Vijay Kumar Monga

Vs.

The General Insurance Corporation of India and others

Present: Mr. S.C.Pathela, Advocate,
for the applicants/respondents.

Mr. Peeush Gagneja, Advocate,
for the non-applicant/petitioner.

CM Nos.90-91-CWP of 2015

For the reasons stated in the applications, the same are allowed. Delay of 28 days in re-filing and delay of 135 days in filing the present review application is condoned.

RA-CW-3 of 2015 in CWP No.16006 of 1993

Having heard learned counsel for the applicants as also learned counsel for the non-applicant, I am of the view that neither there is any error apparent on the face of the record nor even otherwise there is an anomaly in the order/judgment under review. The review application, therefore, does not meet the parameters envisaged under Order 47 Rule 1 of CPC.

Even otherwise, on merits, I am not in agreement with the contentions of learned counsel for the applicants that the judgment/order under review has been rendered without dealing with the submissions of the review applicant-Insurance Company in the written statement.

**CM Nos.90 and 91-CWP of 2015 and
RA-CW-3 of 2015 in
CWP No.16006 of 2015**

-2-

Perusal of the judgment under review reflects that the submissions of the petitioner as also the applicant-Insurance Company have been duly dealt with in all aspects. It is only thereafter, that a well reasoned judgment has been rendered. As regards not noticing some of the submissions stated to have been pleaded in the written statement, the same would deem to be consciously ignored and rejected by that logic, there cannot, therefore, be a review of the judgment on that ground.

No grounds to interfere.

Dismissed.

25.09.2019
vandana

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE