

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-31133-2019

Date of Decision:-11.12.2019.

Kashmir Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR

Present: Mr. Gandharv Malhotra, Advocate for
Mr. Bhupinder Ghai, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Luvinder Sofat, AAG, Punjab.

SANJAY KUMAR, J. (Oral)

The grievance of the petitioner was that the authorities of the Agriculture Department of the State of Punjab, were not to extending him the benefit of loan waiver in terms of the notified Government policy.

The Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Nawanshahar, filed a written statement stating that pursuant to the loan waiver policy notified on 16.02.2018, the petitioner submitted an application only on 22.11.2019, i.e., after the filing of the present writ petition along with an application for correction of his name. A report was called for in this regard from the Tehsildar, Nawanshahar, for verification of the petitioner's name from the village Sarpanch. The Tehsildar, Nawanshahar, is stated to have submitted his report dated 05.12.2019 and on the strength thereof, the Committee

concerned, involving the officials of the bank and other departments, decided that as on 31.03.2017 the total loan amount of the petitioner amounted to Rs.1,37,460/- and the same was amenable to waiver in terms of the scheme of the State Government. A copy of the decision taken by the Committee (Annexure R-3/4) is placed on record. The Sub Divisional Magistrate further stated that the case of the petitioner has been forwarded to the concerned bank for social audit and after completion of the same, the loan waiver amount would be transferred to his loan account and the matter would stand settled.

In view of the aforestated developments, as only procedural formalities are required to be completed for extending the benefit of the loan waiver scheme to the petitioner, this Court sees no reason to keep this writ petition pending or adjudicate it on merits.

The writ petition is disposed of accordingly.

No order as to costs.

(SANJAY KUMAR)
JUDGE

December 11, 2019.

sandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No.