

**117 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-32896-2019(O&M)
Date of decision-14.11.2019**

Rajender Singh

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Anuj Balian, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Ayuwan Singh, A.A.G., Haryana.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to consider the representation of the petitioner (Annexure P-4).

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage, he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2-Managing Director, Haryana State Roads and Bridges, Development Corporation, Panchkula to consider and decide the representation (Annexure P-4) expeditiously.

Heard.

A complete set of paper book has been handed over to Mr. Ayuwan Singh, A.A.G., Haryana in the Court today.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2- Managing Director, Haryana State Roads and Bridges, Development Corporation, Panchkula to consider and decide the representation (Annexure P-4) in accordance with law within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the judgment. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the request made by the petitioner is admissible, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the request made by the petitioner is not admissible, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

14.11.2019*ps-I***(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
-----------------------------	-----	----

Whether Reportable :	Yes	No
----------------------	-----	----