

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**RSA No.473 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision:21.02.2019**

Raksha Devi (deceased) through LRs ... Appellant

Vs.

Raj Kumar Sharma ... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present:- Mr. Keshav Pratap Singh, Advocate
 for the appellant.

AMIT RAWAL J. (Oral)

C.M.No.1051-C of 2017

For the reasons stated in the application which is duly supported by
an affidavit, delay of 187 days in filing the appeal is condoned.

C.M. stands allowed.

C.M.No.1052-C of 2017

For the reasons stated in the application which is duly supported by
an affidavit, delay of 55 days in re-filing the appeal is condoned.

C.M. stands allowed.

RSA No.473 of 2017 (O&M)

For order, see detailed reasons recorded in a separate order of even date
passed in RSA No. 3779 of 2016 titled as Raj Kumar Sharma Vs. Raksha Devi
(deceased) through LRs.

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**

February 21, 2019
savita

Whether Speaking/Reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No