IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No. 45561 of 2019
Date of decision: 19.11.2019.

Jaswinder Singh ..... Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab and another ..... Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Tejinder Pal Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

****

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has challenged the order dated 11.09.2019 passed by the Additional Sessions Judge, Shaheed Bhagat Singh Nagar, whereby respondent No. 2 has been granted anticipatory bail.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the affidavit which was relied upon by respondent No. 2 for rendition of accounts had been found to be forged. He also contends that the civil suit filed by respondent No. 2 had also been dismissed on 16.09.2019 and, therefore, he could not have been granted anticipatory bail.

Heard.

It is apparent from the perusal of the order granting anticipatory bail to respondent No. 2 that he was working as a Manager with the petitioner, who is the owner of a marriage palace. There appears to be some dispute with regard to monetary transactions entered into between the two parties in the year 2012. The FIR has been lodged after seven years in the

RAMESH KUMAR MALIK
2019.11.19 14:28
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

year 2019. In these circumstances, I do not find any illegality in the order dated 11.09.2019 passed by the Additional Sessions Judge, Shaheed Bhagat Singh Nagar granting anticipatory bail to respondent No. 2.

Consequently, the petition stands dismissed.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

19.11.2019
Ramesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No

RAMESH KUMAR MALIK
2019.11.19 14:28
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document