

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.31323 of 2019

Date of Decision : 13.11.2019.

Veena Gautam and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S.Walia

Present: Dr. Suresh Kumar Redhu, Advocate for the petitioners.

B.S.WALIA, J. (Oral)

1. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners states that the petitioners have submitted representations Annexure P/17 and P/18 dated 05.04.2017 and 26.11.2013 respectively in respect of the grievance which is the subject matter of the writ petition and that the petitioners would be satisfied if at this stage the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.4 to consider and decide the same in accordance with law.

2. In view of the innocuous nature of the prayer of learned counsel for the petitioners, the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.4 to consider and decide representations Annexure P/17 and P/18 dated 05.04.2017 and 26.11.2013 respectively in accordance with law.

3. At this stage, on request of learned counsel for the petitioners, liberty is granted to the petitioner to file comprehensive

representation within one week from today. In case any such representation is filed by the petitioners within one week from today, the same shall be considered and decided along with representations Annexure P/17 and P/18 dated 05.04.2017 and 26.11.2013 respectively, as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order along with representations.

(B.S.WALIA)
JUDGE

13.11.2019.

rajesh.k.khurana

Whether speaking/reasoned	: Yes/No
Whether reportable	: Yes/No