

206-2

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-45492 of 2019

Date of decision: November 25, 2019

Bhupender Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Amit Jain, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun Beniwal, DAG Haryana.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr. P.C.') for grant of anticipatory bail to petitioner, in FIR No.0345 dated 15.10.2018, under Sections 7 and 10 of the Haryana Development and Regulation of Urban Areas Act, 1975, registered at Police Station City Sohna, District Gurugram.

On 24.10.2019, while issuing notice of motion, this Court has passed the following order:-

“Contends that this Court in connected case, i.e. CRM-M45205 of 2019, has already granted interim protection to the co-accused, namely Mahesh Yadav.

Notice of motion returnable on 25.11.2019.

To be heard along with CRM-M-45205 of 2019.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the event

of his arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit him to interim bail, till the next date of hearing, on his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioner is also directed to abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) of Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973.”

Learned State counsel, on instructions from Inspector Mahesh Kumar, has stated that in terms of order dated 24.10.2019, the petitioner has joined investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.

In view of the above, order dated 24.10.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioner, is made absolute, subject to the provisions of Section 438(2) Cr. P.C.

Petition stands disposed off, accordingly.

However, it is made clear that the petitioner shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.

The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

November 25, 2019

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No