

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-45389 of 2019

Date of Decision: 02.12.2019

Ismail and others

..... Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Navdeep Singh, A.A.G., Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

On 01.11.2019 learned counsel for the petitioner had submitted that the matter had been settled between the parties, they being immediate relatives to each other, upon which he had been ordered to be admitted to interim bail.

Today, learned State counsel, on instructions from the police official who is present in court to assist him, submits that the petitioners have joined investigation and though an offence punishable under Section 307 of the IPC would be made out, presently the petitioners' custodial interrogation is not required.

That being so, without commenting on the actual merits of the case, the petition is disposed of, with the order dated 01.11.2019 made absolute on the same terms and conditions.

However, the aforesaid order will not be treated to be an observation of this court on the merits of the case, but only in view of the fact that the

investigating agency itself has stated that it does not require custodial interrogation of the petitioner.

Naturally, the complainant would be at liberty to avail of his remedy as available to him as regards any grievance, including the investigation process.

December 02, 2019
dinesh

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	No.