

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-45386-2019

Date of Decision: 09.12.2019

Kanwaljit Singh @ Kewaljeet Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Lakhwinder Singh Mann, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. B.S. Sewak, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Though, on 24.10.2019, learned counsel for the petitioner had contended that the petitioner had never identified the person who stood as surety for the accused Rooppreet Kaur and had in fact identified only Rooppreet Kaur herself (as Rooppreet Kaur), she being his neighbour, today learned counsel for the State has produced in Court a police file showing therein a photocopy of a document, with at least on a *prima facie* on a perusal thereof, it seen to be signed by the petitioner, identifying one Kirpal Singh, son of Sukhdev Singh, who it is stated stood surety for Rooppreet Kaur, but with that person having been found to be a “fictitious person” on investigation.

Though learned counsel submits that in the FIR it is only one Rajesh Kumar who is stated to have identified Kirpal Singh, a perusal of the police file shows that other than Rajesh Kumar, the petitioner, Kanwaljit Singh @ Kewaljeet Singh, is also shown to have identified Kirpal Singh.

Consequently, without making any comment on the actual merits of the case, all observations made hereinabove being only in the context of the petition seeking anticipatory bail, I see no reason to continue with the petition, which is dismissed, with the interim order dated 24.10.2019 vacated.

December 09, 2019
nitin/dinesh

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes
No.