

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 31324 of 2019

Date of decision : 30.10.2019

Anita Dewan

.....Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Urban Development Authority and others

...Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL**

Present : Mr. Vijay Veer Singh, Advocate for
Mr. A. S. Tewatia, Advocate for the petitioner.

* * *

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that case of the petitioner is at par with that of the petitioner, who filed ***CWP No.22902 of 2018*** titled as ***Kiran Makhija Vs. HUDA and another*** and said petition was disposed of vide order dated 10.09.2018. Petitioner would be satisfied in case the pending representation filed by her is decided in pursuance of order passed in ***Kiran Makhija's*** case (supra).

Keeping in view the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1 to consider the pending representation dated 14.08.2019 (Annexure P-6) and decide the same within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order by considering the order passed

in *Kiran Makhija's* case (supra).

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

Dated : 30.10.2019

sunil yadav

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No