

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

243

RSA-359-2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 16.10.2019

RAM UTTAM

... Appellant

Versus

CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION ETC

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL

Present : None for the appellant.

Ms. Sonia Sharma, Advocate for UT Chandigarh.

REKHA MITTAL, J. (Oral)

Mr. Dishant Tuteja, Advocate has not filed vakalatnama in the Registry of the Court till date. There is no representation on behalf of the appellant. The situation was no different on the last date of hearing. Regular second appeal cannot be decided in absence of representation on behalf of the appellant. Finding no alternative, dismissed for non prosecution.

16.10.2019

ashok

**(REKHA MITTAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes / No

Whether reportable:

Yes / No