

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

103

CRM-M-45901 of 2019

Date of decision:25.11.2019

Vikasdeep

... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH.

Present: Mr.J.S.Moudgill, Advocate,
for the petitioner

...

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

By this petition, the petitioner seeks the concession of anticipatory bail, upon FIR No.81, dated 27.5.2019, having been registered against him for the alleged commission of offences punishable under Sections 406 and 420 of the IPC, as also under Section 24 of the Immigration Act, at Police Station Sadar Hoshiarpur, District Hoshiarpur.

The allegation against the petitioner is that he along with his co-accused took an amount of Rs.5,00,000/- from the complainants on the promise of sending them to Malaysia on a work permit, but actually did not give them any work permit and upon them reaching Malaysia on a tourist visa, none came to receive them at the airport and thereafter, even upon their return, he did not return the money to them and allegedly threatened to kill them.

The petition first came up for hearing on 29.10.2019, on which date, on a request made on behalf of learned counsel for the petitioner, it was adjourned to 13.11.2019, on which date again, a request for an

adjournment had been made, with the matter adjourned till today. It was made clear in the order dated 13.11.2019 that there was no interim order operating in favour of the petitioner.

Today, learned counsel submits that the petitioner actually wishes to file an affidavit to state that he was actually in the business of buying and selling cars and not in any illegal immigration business.

First of all, no such affidavit has been filed and even, however, had it been filed, obviously such an affidavit, without any supporting document to show that any such business being carried out by the petitioner, would not be taken at its face value.

Other than that, there being nothing on merits to show this Court that the allegations by the complainants are false in any manner, without making any comment on the actual merits of the case for or against the petitioner, which would be a matter of investigation, I see no reason to entertain this petition, which is consequently dismissed.

25.11.2019
pk

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No