

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP No. 3572 of 2019
Date of decision: 4.12.2019

Maya Devi

.. Petitioner

v.

Sh. Aditya Dahiya

.. Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Rakesh Choudhary, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Sandeep S. Mann, Senior DAG, Haryana.

...

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J. (Oral)

The contempt petition is filed pleading wilful disobedience of the directions dated 9.10.2018 issued by this Court in CWP No. 25971 of 2018.

The petitioner filed the writ petition raising a grievance that the respondents (in the writ petition) have wrongly withheld leave encashment and death-cum-retirement gratuity. The petition was disposed of with a direction to Deputy Commissioner, Karnal to pass a speaking order on the representation dated 4.4.2018 within three months from the date of communication of the order. It was further ordered that if any dues are found admissible, the same shall be disbursed to the petitioner within two months thereafter.

Reply dated 2.12.2019 by way of affidavit of Mr. Vinay Pratap Singh, IAS, Deputy Commissioner, Karnal filed in Court is taken on record, copy handed over to learned counsel for the petitioner.

With the reply, order dated 27.11.2019 is annexed, wherein it has been stated that payment of ₹3,00,000/- for leave encashment was made and balance payment of ₹1,15,000/- has been made vide cheque No. 108157 dated 8.8.2019. It is further stated that claim with regard to death-cum-retirement gratuity has been rejected.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is not in a position to contradict the payment of the balance amount of leave encashment, however, seeks liberty to avail remedies in accordance with law for redressal of the surviving grievance.

Disposed of with liberty as prayed for.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

4.12.2019
mk

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No