

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-30745-2019

Date of decision: 23.10.2019

Bakshish Singh

.....Petitioner.

vs.

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: - HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR

Present: - Mr. Vishal Goel, Advocate for the petitioner.

.....

Sanjay Kumar, J. (ORAL)

The complaint of the petitioner is that respondent No. 5 herein is running an industry illegally in a residential area situated in Mannawala Khurd Village. He claims to have made a representation dated 4.9.2019 to the Deputy Commissioner, Amritsar-respondent No. 2. His complaint presently is that no action is being taken thereon.

In the light of the order proposed to be passed by this Court, there is no necessity to put respondent No. 5 on notice or afford him an opportunity of hearing at this stage.

This Court does not propose to go into the merits of the matter as the authority concerned, which is seized of the issue, is yet to take a decision upon the representation dated 4.9.2019 made by the petitioner. As the petitioner alleges violation of law in the operation of the industry allegedly being run by respondent No. 5, the writ petition is disposed of directing respondent No. 2-the Deputy Commissioner, Amritsar, to consider the said representation dated 4.9.2019 on its own merits and take appropriate action thereon in accordance with law.

Needless to state, in the event such consideration has any adverse impact upon any third parties, including respondent No. 5, an opportunity of hearing shall be afforded to them before taking a final decision in the matter. This exercise shall be completed expeditiously and in any event, not later than three weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

No order as to costs.

(SANJAY KUMAR)
JUDGE

23.10.2019

preeti

whether reportable/non reportable
whether speaking/non speaking

yes
yes/no