

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-30431-2019

Date of decision: 08.11.2019

Sudesh and another

.....Petitioners

vs.

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: - HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR

Present: Petitioners in person with
 Mr. S.K. Gupta, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Saurabh Girdhar, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Ankur Malik, Advocate,
for respondents No. 4, 5 and 7.

Sanjay Kumar, J. (ORAL)

This writ petition was filed seeking a direction to the police authorities of the State of Haryana to protect the lives and liberty of the petitioners as they apprehend a threat from respondents No. 4 to 7, their relations.

The petitioners are both majors and claim to have gotten married on 17.10.2019. However, no marriage certificate is produced in evidence thereof.

When this case was taken up for hearing on 19.10.2019, Mr. Ankur Malik, learned counsel, entered appearance on behalf of respondent No. 5, Randhir, the father of the first petitioner, Sudesh. Learned counsel stated that the parents of the first petitioner would like to interact with her and sought an adjournment on that ground. The

case was accordingly adjourned to 22.10.2019. On that day, this Court interacted with the petitioners and their family members in chambers. It emerged that the families of both the petitioners were willing to accept their relationship and get them married as per their rites and customs. To enable them to take necessary steps in that regard and amicably settle the issue, the case was adjourned to 04.11.2019. Liberty was given to the petitioners to seek police protection from the jurisdictional police authorities in the event they still had perception of any threat to their lives and liberty. On 04.11.2019, lawyers were on boycott but the unofficial respondents appeared in person. The petitioners were however absent on that day.

Randhir, the fifth respondent, the father of the first petitioner, stated that none of his family members were willing to accept the relationship between the petitioners and therefore, he was helpless in taking steps to get them married.

Amit, the seventh respondent, being the brother of the second petitioner, also stated to the same effect. As the petitioners were not present on that day and the learned counsel for the parties were also not available due to the boycott, the case was adjourned to 08.11.2019.

Today, Mr. Ankur Malik, learned counsel for respondent No. 5, would inform this Court that the girl's family has decided to disown her as they are not willing to accept her relationship with the second petitioner.

Mr. Ankur Mailk, learned counsel, further stated that he is

instructed to appear for Amit, the seventh respondent, and that the family members of the second petitioner are also not willing to accept his relationship with the first petitioner.

In the light of the aforestated developments, it is clear that there is no possibility of an amicable settlement being arrived at in the context of the petitioners' relationship. This Court is not concerned with the validity of the marriage that they claim to have performed and the only issue that is relevant for the purposes of this case is their right to seek protection. As they have already submitted representation dated 17.10.2019 to the Superintendent of Police, Fatehabad, State of Haryana, the writ petition is disposed of directing the said authority to take necessary action, as warranted, upon the said representation.

No order as to costs.

(SANJAY KUMAR)
JUDGE

08.11.2019

rakesh

whether speaking/non speaking	:	Yes/no
whether reportable/non reportable	:	Yes/no