

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.44992 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 29.10.2019**

Gurvail Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Joginder Pal Devgan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. V.G.Jauhar, Sr. DAG, Punjab for the respondent/State.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J. (Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of bail pending trial to the petitioner in case bearing DDR No.24 dated 25.06.2019, under Sections 326, 452, 336, 323, 435, 506, 427, 148, 149 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Sections 25,27,54,59 of the Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station Sirhali, District Tarn Taran as cross-version in FIR No.85 dated 19.06.2019, under Sections 307, 452, 323, 506, 148, 149 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Sections 25,27,54,59 of the Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station Sirhali, District Tarn Taran.

Contends that this is a version and cross-version and the petitioner has been nominated as an accused in DDR No.24 dated 25.06.2019. Also contends that all the remaining co-accused except the petitioner have already been granted the concession of bail pending trial by learned trial Court. Further contends that there is no other criminal case

against the petitioner.

The above factual position is duly acknowledged by learned State Counsel, on instructions from A.S.I. Gurmeet, but opposed the bail.

Heard both sides and perused the paper-book.

Since this is a version and cross-version and remaining accused have already been granted the concession of bail pending trial and there is no other criminal case against the petitioner, therefore, there is no justification to prolong the incarceration of the petitioner. Consequently, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed. Petitioner be admitted to bail on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

It is clarified that in case there is any recurrence on the part of the petitioner, State of Punjab would be at liberty to move an appropriate application for recalling of this order.

October 29, 2019

Gagan

**(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE**

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>