

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(102)

FAO no.948 of 2015

Date of Decision: 11.04.2019

Harpal Kaur and another

...Appellants

Vs.

Darshan Singh and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- None.

Amol Rattan Singh, J (Oral)

This appeal was filed in the year 2015 by the claimants, against the Award passed in a claim petition instituted by them before the Motor Accident Claims Tribunal, Ludhiana (in short “the Tribunal”), under Section 166 of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988. They seek enhancement of the compensation of Rs.5,18,000/-, awarded to them by the that forum on account of the unfortunate death of their son Gagandeep Singh, in a motor vehicle accident that took place on 30.06.2010.

When the appeal was came up for hearing on July 09, 2015 and 01.12.2015, none had appeared for the appellants, though on 05.04.2016 learned counsel appeared and had sought an adjournment, with the appeal then having come up on November 14, 2017, on which date again an adjournment had been sought.

Thereafter, the turn of the case not having come up on 17.07.2018 and 10.12.2018, it was adjourned to 05.04.2019, on which

date none had appeared and the appeal adjourned till today.

Today again, even on second call in the post lunch session, none appears for the appellants.

That being so, it seems that the appellants are no longer interested in pursuing the present appeal.

Consequently, even though it is seen that the learned Tribunal has applied a multiplier on the loss of income to the appellants on the basis of the age of the claimants instead of the age of the deceased, there is no choice but to dismiss the appeal in default.

Ordered accordingly.

11.04.2019
vcgarg

(Amol Rattan Singh)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable :No