

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No. 201

CR-6870-2016 (O&M)

Date of decision : 19.08.2019

Rajinder Kumar Bansal and others

..... Petitioners

VERSUS

Sat Pal Bansal and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. S. C. Arora, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. H. S. Brar, Advocate, for respondents No. 1 and 5 to 9.

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners filed a suit seeking therein a declaration to the effect that petitioner No. 1 alongwith respondent No. 1 be declared to be joint owners in possession of the property detailed and described in the head note of the plaint (for short, the suit property). Partition of the suit property and consequential relief of rendition of accounts to petitioner No. 1 was also claimed. Respondent No. 1 was further sought to be enjoined from changing the nature of the suit property.

Alongwith the suit the petitioner filed an application under Order 39 Rules 1 and 2 CPC seeking therein *ad interim* injunction. Such application was dismissed by the Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division), Sri Muktsar Sahib (for short, the Trial Court). The petitioners' appeal against the aforesaid order met the same fate as their application at the hands of the Appellate Court occasioning the filing of the present petition.

At the outset, learned counsel for the parties are in agreement that in the main suit the Trial Court is in the midst of hearing the final arguments and now since the main suit would be finally adjudicated upon, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

Ordered accordingly.

19.08.2019

shamsher

[DEEPAK SIBAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

:

Yes / No

Whether reportable

:

Yes / No