

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

232

CRM-M-44710-2019 (O & M)
Date of Decision: November 13, 2019

GUDDU @ BHUPENDER

....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Baljeet Beniwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. D.R. Singla, DAG, Haryana.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No. 201, dated 02.08.2019, under Sections 395, 397 IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act (later on Sections 395, 397 IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act have been deleted and Sections 392 and 34 IPC have been added), registered at Police Station Hassanpur, District Palwal.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the allegations in the FIR are that the petitioner along with other accused had snatched ₹3700/- and 02 mobile phones from the complainant. It is also alleged that the petitioner and other accused had run away after leaving the motorcycle at the spot. He also contends that the petitioner is 25 years of age and not involved in any other case. He is in custody for over 3 ½ months.

Learned State counsel states that although the investigation has been completed and charges have been framed but none out of 10 prosecution witnesses has been examined.

Heard.

In view of the submissions of learned counsel for the petitioner especially when the petitioner is 25 years of age, he is not involved in any other case, he is in custody for over 3½ months and conclusion of the trial is likely to take some time, I deem it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

November 13, 2019

A.Kaundal

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No