

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.44991 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 29.10.2019**

Vikas Chaudhary

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Suneet Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Tanuj Sharma, AAG, Haryana for the respondent/State.

Ms. Usha Rani, Advocate for
Mr. Rakesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate for the complainant.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J. (Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of bail pending trial to the petitioner in case FIR No.0169 dated 03.05.2018, under Sections 406, 420 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 read with Sections 3/32/14 of the Haryana Protection of Interest of Depositors in Financial Establishment Act, 2013 (HPIODFE Act), registered at Police Station Sector 9-A, Gurugram.

Contentends that matter has been compromised between the parties i.e. petitioner as well as complainant.

Learned Counsel for the complainant has acknowledged the above factual position.

Since the matter has already been compromised between the parties, therefore, there is no justification to prolong the incarceration of the petitioner. Consequently, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed. Petitioner be admitted to bail on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the legality and validity of the compromise entered into between the parties.

It is clarified that in case there is any recurrence on the part of the petitioner, State of Haryana would be at liberty to move an appropriate application for recalling of this order.

October 29, 2019

Gagan

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No