

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

RSA No. 375 of 2015(O&M)

Date of Decision: July 17, 2019

Des Raj

.....Appellant

versus

Raj Rani and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN.

Present:- Mr. Satnam Chauhan, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. Amardeep Singh Gill, Advocate
for respondent nos. 1,2,7 to 9.

RAMENDRA JAIN, J. (ORAL)

As per office report, respondent nos. 3,5 and 10 were served in person, whereas respondent No.4 served through brother, which is an effective service. Respondent nos. 6 and 11 have refused to accept notice. None has turned up on their behalf. Therefore, respondent nos. 3 to 6, 10 and 11 are proceeded against ex-parte.

Counsel for the appellant prays for withdrawal of instant appeal as having rendered infructuous .

Dismissed as such.

(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE

17.07.2019
dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No