

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

214

COC-3127-2016

Date of Decision : April 01, 2019

JANNAT JARG NEE SINGH

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

NEERKEET JARG

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIRMALJIT KAUR

Present : Mr. Gaurav Puri, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Manish Jain, Advocate for the respondent.

NIRMALJIT KAUR, J. (Oral)

Admittedly, the respondent is continuing paying the amount as settled after November 2016. Thus, the only dispute that now survives is qua the payment from 1.9.2015 to 31.10.2016.

Learned counsel for the respondent has filed the reply which is taken on record. Copy given to learned counsel for the petitioner. It is stated in the reply that the respondents had given the said amount in cash in the presence of the respondent's mother and his son, namely, Aamer Jarg.

In these circumstances, it is difficult for this Court to record a finding whether the amount is paid or not and taking into account that thereafter the amount is being regularly paid, this Court does not find any disobedience of the order.

Accordingly, the contempt petition is dismissed.

Rule issued against the respondent(s) is discharged.

However, the petitioner is always at liberty to avail any other remedy available to him under the law.

April 01, 2019
ajay-1

(NIRMALJIT KAUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No