

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

103

RSA No.1421 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of decision: 19.09.2019

GURMEET SINGH

... Appellant

Versus

AMRIK SINGH

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL

Present : Appellant-Gurmeet Singh in person.

Respondent-Amrik Singh in person with
Mr. IPS Kohli, Advocate

REKHA MITTAL, J. (Oral)

CM No.10945-C of 2018

Heard.

For reasons contained in the application, same is allowed; order dated 22.05.2018 is recalled and the appeal is restored to its original number and stage and taken up on board today itself.

Main Case

Counsel for the respondent, on instructions, would state that the appellant has already paid the entire amount in discharge of his obligation created, in view of settlement of dispute between the parties. He would further state that respondent has vacated the premises in question, keys are being handed over to the appellant in the Court, original writing executed by Gayanpreet Singh son of Amrik Singh respondent has been handed over to the appellant present in the Court and a copy thereof may be taken on record. He would further undertake that outstanding arrears of water and electricity charges till date, on receipt of bill, would be paid by the respondent/defendant.

Appellant present in the Court has accepted that keys of premises have been handed over to him in the Court. However, he would state that still there are certain articles of the respondent lying in the premises in question and he may be directed to remove the same.

Counsel for the respondent, in reply, would state that no articles

belonging to the respondent are lying in the premises. If any articles are still lying there, the respondent would not claim any compensation or removal thereof. The appellant would be at liberty to use those articles according to his volition.

The appellant would state that in view of compromise, he does not press any claim for recovery of damages and his suit for possession of the property in question may be decreed that otherwise stands satisfied.

In view of the above, copy of writing aforesaid is taken on record. The appeal is partly allowed. Accordingly, suit filed by the appellant/plaintiff for possession of suit premises is decreed and judgments and decrees passed by the Courts are modified. The decree with regard to possession also stands satisfied as keys of the premises in question have been handed over by the respondent to the appellant.

19.09.2019

ashok

(REKHA MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes / No
Yes / No