

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CR No. 6629 of 2019

Date of decision:-22.10.2019

Paramjit Singh

.....Petitioner

vs.

Nirmal Singh deceased through his LRs

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRIPresent:- Mr. Ramesh Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.**RITU BAHRI, J.(Oral)**

The petitioner has come up in revision against the order dated 03.09.2019 (Annexure P-3) whereby the evidence of the plaintiff is closed. The plaintiff has filed a suit for specific performance (Annexure P-1). A perusal of the Annexure P-2 collectively shows that the petitioner has examined only one witness and he had sought number of adjournments but still the evidence was not concluded.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions from the petitioner, submits that the petitioner has two witnesses to conclude his evidence.

Keeping in view the details of the adjournments sought by the petitioner (Annexure P-2 collectively), petition is allowed. Order dated 03.09.2019 (Annexure P-3) is being set aside and one effective opportunity is given to the petitioner to conclude his evidence subject to payment of Rs.20,000/- to the defendant.

**(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE****22.10.2019**
Divyanshi

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No