

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-30192-2019

Date of Decision: 18.10.2019

Ranjit Singh

... Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab and Others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present : Mr. Mohit Sadana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Ambika Bedi, AAG, Punjab.

ARUN MONGA, J.(ORAL)

Inter alia contends that the petitioner was duly selected for the post of Constable but yet he has not been allowed to join the services on the ostensible reason of registration of an FIR No. 75 dated 25.05.2016 under Sections 307/353/186/323/427/341/283/148 and 149 of IPC

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that State of Punjab has constituted a special Commission of inquiry, who look into the incident which led to the registration of FIR and vide inquiry report dated 27.02.2019 (Annexure P-6), closer of FIR was recommended by the Commission of Inquiry.

3. In the premise, petitioner also submitted a representation dated 08.04.2019 (Annexure P-7) but the same has not been adverted to by the respondents till date. Hence, the present writ petition.

4. Notice of motion.

5. On advance service of the petition, Ms. Ambika Bedi, AAG, Punjab appears and accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

6. Given the nature of order being passed, there is no necessity to seek return by the respondents as no further proceedings and/or pleadings are required.

7. Without commenting on the merits of the case, present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to objectively consider the representation dated 08.04.2019 (Annexure P-7) and also by keeping in view the contentions stated in the present writ petition by treating it as a supplementary representation and also pass a speaking order as to why the petitioner is not being granted the benefit of his merit, as per the selection process conducted for selection to the post of Constable where he was declared successful and was accorded serial No. 1643 in the provisional merit list, in accordance with law.

8. Before passing speaking order, the competent authority shall also go through the judgment rendered by this Court in **Pardeep Vs. State of Haryana**, reported as **2013 (3) S.C.T, 530** and give reasons as to how the case of the petitioner is not covered by the same.

09. Let the needful be done within a period of 60 days from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

10. Disposed of in above terms.

18.10.2019
smriti

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	: Yes/No
Whether Reportable	: Yes/No