

Vidya Sagar

.....Petitioner

Versus

Manjit Singh

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIRMALJIT KAURPresent: Mr. Ashok Kumar Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.**NIRMALJIT KAUR, J. (ORAL)**

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that he does not wish to press the present revision petition but prays that time be granted to the petitioner to vacate the premises.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner, having perused the judgments and the circumstances, learned counsel for the petitioner has rightly decided not to press the present revision petition being devoid of merit.

Accordingly, the present revision petition is dismissed.

There is merit in the argument of learned counsel for the petitioner that some time should be granted to the petitioner to vacate the premises. The petitioner herein prays for six months to vacate the premises.

In view of the above, the petitioner will not be dispossessed on or before 27.04.2020. However, the same is subject to the undertaking to be given by the petitioner/tenant before the Rent Controller, within 10 days from today i.e. on or before 28.10.2019 that he shall vacate the premises on or before 27.04.2020 as well as subject to the payment of rent regularly as also the payment of arrears, if any. In case the said undertaking is not given

CR No.6582 of 2019 (O&M)

within 10 days i.e. on or before 28.10.2019, the respondent/landlord shall be at liberty to pursue with the execution proceedings. However, in case the petitioner does not vacate the premises on or before 27.04.2020 in spite of undertaking given by him, the respondent/landlord will be at liberty to pursue with the execution proceedings as well as the contempt petition.

Disposed of in the above terms.

(NIRMALJIT KAUR)
JUDGE

18.10.2019
sandeep

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No