

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.30170 of 2019

Date of Decision : 02.12.2019.

Sunil Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S.Walia

Present: Mr. Varun Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S.WALIA, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel contends that the petitioner applied for the post of Male Constable (GD) in response to advertisement Annexure P/1 dated 16.04.2018 and pursuant to qualifying the written examination was called for physical screening test, physical measurement test and lastly scrutiny of documents on 17.12.2019. Final result was declared vide Annexure P/5 on 28.02.2019 and the petitioner was shown to have been selected for the post of Male Constrable (GD). Thereafter, the petitioner was called for medical examination as is evident from Annexure P/6 dated 01.03.2019 and also filled the form for verification of character and antecedents under the Punjab Police rules, 1994, mentioning therein that FIR No.173 dated 06.07.2018, U/s 323, 325, 341, 307, 506 and 34 of IPC had been registered at Police Station Bhawani Khera against him and others as also that he had been acquitted in the said case. Pursuant thereto, on directions being issued by the respondents, the petitioner supplied a copy of judgment of acquittal and later on a copy of correction of the judgment but thereafter no action has been taken by the respondents

for appointing the petitioner despite his entitlement as also his having submitted representation Annexure P/8 dated 12.09.2019 and that in the circumstances the petitioner would be satisfied if the writ petition is disposed of at this stage by directing respondent No.4 to consider and decide the claim as made in representation Annexure P/8 dated 12.09.2019 in accordance with law in a time bound manner.

2. Notice of motion. Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and states that he has no objection to the innocuous prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

3. Without commenting on the merits of the claim or for that matter the entitlement of the petitioner to the relief claimed, the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.4 to consider and decide the claim made in representation Annexure P/8 dated 12.09.2019, within six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(B.S.WALIA)
JUDGE

02.12.2019.

rajesh.k.khurana

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No