

Date of decision: 16.10.2019

.....Petitioners.

VS.

.....Respondents.

Present: - Petitioners in person with
Mr. Pardeep Sihmar, Advocate for the petitioners.

• • • • •

Heard.

Pleadings on record have been perused.

As this Court does not propose to go into the merits of the matter, there is no necessity to put the unofficial respondents on notice or afford them an opportunity of hearing.

The writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2- the Superintendent of Police, Kaithal that in case any representation is filed seeking protection of life and liberty, the contents thereof be duly verified and if necessary, requisite steps be taken strictly in accordance with law for grant of protection of life and liberty to the petitioners. It is clarified that this order shall not be treated as a stamp of approval or validity by this Court as to marriage of the parties, if any.

It is also made clear that this order shall have no import in the event any challenge is made as to the validity of the marriage.

(SANJAY KUMAR)
JUDGE

16.10.2019

```
preeti
```

whether speaking/non speaking

yes/no

whether reportable/non reportable

yes/no