

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.30028 of 2019

Date of Decision: October 17, 2019

Jagriti Devi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. R.P.Dangi, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the present writ petition is for directing the respondents to sanction medical leave from 20.07.2005 to 17.08.2005 and also to pay the salary for the leave period, i.e., 01.08.2005 to 31.08.2005 with interest.

Learned counsel contends that the petitioner, at this stage, would be satisfied if a present writ petition may be treated as a representation and direction issued to the respondents to consider and decide the same, within some specified time.

In view of the statement made by the counsel for the petitioner and without going into the merit of the case or commenting thereon, the present writ petition is disposed of with directions to the respondents to treat the same as a representation and take a decision thereon within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case the claim of the petitioner is to be accepted, the consequential benefits, if any, be released to her, in accordance with law and if the claim of the petitioner is not to be accepted, then a well reasoned and speaking order be passed and conveyed to the petitioner forthwith.

It is made clear that in case the decision is not taken within two months, there shall be costs of ₹ 25,000/- to be paid to the petitioner.

October 17, 2019
ramesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No