

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 44001 of 2019
Decided on: 26.11.2019**

Prince and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present : Mr. Namit Khurana, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Naveen Sheoran, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Pradeep Chhokar, Advocate
for Mr. Vishal Handa, Advocate
for the complainant.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioners pray for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.175 dated 02.10.2019 registered under Sections 323, 427, 506, 452, 34 IPC at Police Station Radaur, District Yamuna Nagar.

The operative part of the order dated 17.10.2019, vide which interim anticipatory bail has been granted to the petitioners, is reproduced as under:-

“...Counsel for the petitioners has submitted that without prejudice to their right of defence, the petitioners are ready to hand over a demand draft of Rs.25,000/- each to the complainant.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Deepak Grewal, DAG, Haryana who is present in the Court accepts notice on behalf of the respondent while Mr. Vishal Handa, Advocate has appeared on behalf

of the complainant.

Counsel for the petitioner is directed to supply a copy of paperbook to counsel for the State during course of the day.

Counsel for the complainant has not raised any serious objection to the submissions made by counsel for the possibility in order to explore the possibility of some amicable settlement between the parties.

List again on 26.11.2019....”

Counsel for the petitioners has submitted that, in pursuance to the order dated 17.10.2019, the petitioners have appeared before the Investigating Officer and have handed over the demand draft of Rs.25,000/- each to him and thereafter, they have joined the investigation.

Counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Darshan Singh, has not disputed the aforesaid fact and has handed over the demand drafts of Rs.25,000/- each to counsel for the complainant in the Court today.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioners vide order dated 17.10.2019 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

26.11.2019

yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No