

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

RSA No.1056 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: March 06, 2019

Harbhajan Singh

...Appellant

Versus

Jaspal Singh & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr.Chand Deep Jindal, Advocate,
for the appellant.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

CM No.2390-C of 2017

For the reasons mentioned in the application, which is supported by an affidavit, delay of 37 days in re-filing the appeal is condoned.

CM stands disposed of.

RSA No.1056 of 2017

The short point involved in the present Regular Second Appeal is whether the plaintiff could claim ownership of the suit property by way of adverse possession as shown in green colour in the plan attached, which is not being controverted by the learned counsel for the appellant, the answer would be 'No' in view of the ratio decidendi culled out by Hon'ble the Supreme Court in Gurudwara Sahib Versus Gram Panchayat Village

Sirthala and another, 2013(4) R.C.R. (Civil) 703. The findings of fact and

law, thus, cannot be said to be suffering from illegality or perversity. No ground for interference is made out, much less involvement of any substantial question of law. Resultantly, the appeal is dismissed.

March 06, 2019
ramesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No