

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of decision: 23.10.2019

1. CRM-M-44924-2019 (O&M)

Vijay Pal Adhana, proprietor of M/s Shivam Motors, Palwal

...Petitioner

Versus

Swaraj Mazda Limited, having its Corporate Office at Chandigarh

...Respondent

2. CRM-M-44929-2019 (O&M)

Vijay Pal Adhana, proprietor of M/s Shivam Motors, Palwal

...Petitioner

Versus

Swaraj Mazda Limited, having its Corporate Office at Chandigarh

...Respondent

3. CRM-M-44932-2019 (O&M)

Vijay Pal Adhana, proprietor of M/s Shivam Motors, Palwal

...Petitioner

Versus

Swaraj Mazda Limited, having its Corporate Office at Chandigarh

...Respondent

4. CRM-M-45016-2019 (O&M)

Vijay Pal Adhana, proprietor of M/s Shivam Motors, Palwal

...Petitioner

Versus

Swaraj Mazda Limited, having its Corporate Office at Chandigarh

...Respondent

5. CRM-M-45063-2019 (O&M)

Vijay Pal Adhana, proprietor of M/s Shivam Motors, Palwal

...Petitioner

Versus

Swaraj Mazda Limited, having its Corporate Office at Chandigarh

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

**Present: Mr. Chetan Rao, Advocate
for the petitioner.**

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J.

This order shall dispose of all the aforementioned five petitions praying for quashing of the orders dated 29.08.2019 passed by the Additional Sessions Judge, Chandigarh, vide which bail of the petitioner was cancelled and bail/surety bonds were forfeited and non-bailable warrants have been issued, in Criminal Appeals No.145-2014, No.143-2014, No.144-2014,

No.146-2014 and No.147-2014, all titled as Vijay Pal Adhana Vs. Swaraj Mazda, filed against the judgment dated 29.03.2014 passed by the trial Court in criminal complaints No.14156 dated 04.12.2007, No.20625 dated 29.09.2007, No.1415 dated 04.12.2007, No.14155 dated 04.12.2007 and No.10784 dated 29.09.2007 respectively.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in fact, the petitioner was regularly appearing before the lower appellate Court and he moved an application for exemption on 29.08.2019, which was opposed by learned counsel for the respondent on the ground that even on a previous date, an adjournment was sought and the petitioner is moving repeated applications for exemption from personal appearance.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court is of the opinion that issuance of notice may further delay the proceedings before the lower appellate Court, therefore, all these petitions are disposed of with a direction to the petitioner to surrender before the lower appellate Court within a period of 10 days from today and the lower appellate Court will release him on bail subject to his furnishing fresh bail/surety bonds and on payment of costs of Rs.5,000/- per petition, to be made to the respondent-complainant by way of demand draft(s).

Disposed of, accordingly.

[ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN]
JUDGE

23.10.2019
vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No