

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP NO.29851 OF 2019
Date of Decision: 17.10.2019

Sunil KumarPetitioner

versus

Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Ltd. and othersRespondents

CORAM HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present Mr.Umesh Narang, Advocate for the petitioner.

AMIT RAWAL, J (ORAL)

Present petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ of certiorari quashing the impugned order dated 16.01.2014 (Annexure P-8) whereby the case of the petitioner for promotion as Junior Engineer on the basis of seniority of Lineman has been rejected. In this regard, had submitted representation dated 16.05.2018 (Annexure P-13), but there is no adherence thereto.

Learned counsel for petitioner submits that he will be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent no.2, to consider the representation dated 16.05.2018 (Annexure P-13), within a stipulated period.

Without going into the merits and demerits of the claim of the petitioner, I deem it appropriate to direct respondent no.2 – Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Ltd. through its Chief Engineer, to consider the representation (Annexure P-13), within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and shall pass a speaking order thereon after affording opportunity of hearing to petitioner and taking into consideration judicial precedents as well, failing which, respondent no.2

shall be liable to pay costs of ₹ 25,000/- to petitioner.

This condition of imposing costs is only to prevent petitioner to run from pillar to post and avail remedy of contempt in case of non-compliance of directions issued by this Court.

The writ petition is disposed of with the above direction.

17.10.2019
mamta

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No