

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-44120 of 2019.

Decided on:- November 29, 2019.

Charanjit Kaur.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab.

.....Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

Present:- Mr. Sunil Agnihotri, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurav Khurana, D.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Vishal Munjal, Advocate
for the complainant.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has filed present petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking anticipatory bail in the event of her arrest in FIR No.8 dated 16.01.2019 under Section 304-B IPC registered at Police Station Mukerian, District Hoshiarpur.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that pursuant to the order dated October 17, 2019 passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation. Moreover, the complainant has also furnished an affidavit dated 18.07.2019, wherein he has deposed that the complainant and his family has investigated the reason of death of his daughter Harpreet Kaur at all level. His daughter had no problem at her in-laws house and no member of her in-laws family had neither demanded the dowry nor harassed her.

At this stage, learned counsel for the complainant, on instructions from complainant Ranjit Singh, who is present in Court, states that the affidavit dated 18.07.2019 (Annexure P-2) has been furnished by the complainant without any pressure or threat and the present FIR was got registered by him under some depression.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Mohinder Singh, states that the petitioner has joined the investigation and her custodial interrogation is not required in the case.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Considering the affidavit dated 18.07.2019 (Annexure P-2) furnished by the complainant, coupled with the fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation and her custodial interrogation is no more required in the case, the present petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 17.10.2019 is made absolute.

However, if required, the petitioner shall continue to join investigation as and when required to do so and shall abide by the terms and conditions, as laid down under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

November 29, 2019
Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether Reportable: No