

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No.29646 of 2019

Date of Decision: October 15, 2019

Vinod Manjhu

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. Sanchit Punia, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner had on the portal of the Department of Secondary Education, requested for transfer as couple case. His place of posting is at Government Senior Secondary School Phaggu, Block Baragudha, District Sirsa, whereas that of the wife at Government Girls Primary School Sadalpur, Hisar. His request is not being considered as a couple case and is suffering many difficulties. In this regard, attention of this Court has been drawn to Annexure P-8, whereby relaxation in the transfer policy has been given to many employees vide Annexure P-8 (colly). Even many posts are lying vacant.

At this stage, learned counsel contends that the petitioner would be satisfied if the present writ petition may be treated as a representation and direction issued to the respondents to take a decision thereon within some reasonable time.

In view of the statement made by the counsel for the petitioner

and without going into the merit of the case or commenting thereon, the present writ petition is disposed of with directions to the respondents to treat the same as a representation and take a decision thereon within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case the claim of the petitioner is not to be accepted, then a well reasoned and speaking order be passed and conveyed to the petitioners forthwith.

It is made clear that in case the decision is not taken within two months, there shall be costs of ₹ 25,000/- to be paid to the petitioner.

October 15, 2019
ramesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No