

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

216

CRM-M-43979-2019

DATE OF DECISION: 19.11.2019

KIRANPAL KAUR AND ANR

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Mandeep Kumar Dhot, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Senior DAG, Punjab.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners are seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.01, dated 03.01.2019, under Sections 306 read with Section 34 IPC, registered at Police Station City Dhuri, District Sangrur.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the allegations in the FIR do not prima facie constitute an offence under Section 306 IPC. He also contends that the petitioners are uncle and aunt of the girl, who is alleged to have performed marriage with the deceased. He further contends that the girl was minor and she continued to reside with her parents for which the deceased was disturbed.

This Court, by the order dated 17.10.2019, had directed the petitioners to appear before the Investigating Officer and join the investigation and in the event of their arrest, they were to be released on ad-interim bail to the satisfaction of the Investigating/Arresting Officer, subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from ASI Janpal Singh Virk, states that the petitioners have joined investigation and are not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the submissions of the learned counsel for the petitioners and the petitioners having joined investigation, the order dated 17.10.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioners is made absolute.

However, the petitioners shall abide by the conditions stipulated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. They shall also join investigation as and when called upon to do so.

The petition stands disposed of.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

19.11.2019.

SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No