

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-29933-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 17.10.2019**

Shivali Rasal	Versus	... Petitioner
State of Punjab & others		... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. H.S. Deol, Advocate and
Mr. Tushar Madaan, Advocate for the petitioner.

....

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner seeks a mandamus for directing the official respondents to protect her life and liberty by voicing an apprehension and threat to the same at the hands of private respondent No.4.

Counsel for the petitioner has been heard at length.

Private respondent No.4 is none other than the husband of the petitioner.

Pleadings on record are wholly insufficient for this Court to infer any imminent danger to the life and liberty of the petitioner.

Rather pleadings on record would suggest that there is a matrimonial dispute between the parties and the petitioner is currently staying at her parental house in Amritsar.

The instant writ petition can only be seen as a fallout of a bad marriage.

No intervention is called for.

Dismissed.

17.10.2019

harjeet

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |