

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

255

CRM-M-44401-2019

Date of decision : 23.10.2019

Rinku Puri

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.. Respondent

CORAM :HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Aditya Sanghi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Satish Saini, DAG, Haryana.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.0350 dated 06.08.2016, under Section 21 of the NDPS Act, registered at Police Station City Tohana, District Fatehabad.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the allegations against the petitioner are that 10 grams of smack was recovered from him. The petitioner had earlier been granted bail but as he could not appear on one date, his bail bonds were cancelled. He also contends that the petitioner has thereafter, surrendered before the trial Court and is now in custody for over two months after his surrender. He further contends that the petitioner, who is 25 years old professional driver, is not involved in any other case under the NDPS Act.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from SI Puran Chand, states that 06 out of 11 prosecution witnesses have been examined.

Heard.

In view of the submissions of the learned counsel for the petitioner especially when the petitioner is in custody for over two months, he is not involved in any other case under the NDPS Act and the conclusion of the trial is likely to take sometime, I deem it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

October 23, 2019

sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No