

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.29912 of 2019

Date of Decision : 17.10.2019

M/s RKM Housing Ltd.

....Petitioner

Versus

Punjab State Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission
and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

...

Present : Mr.JPS Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

...

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.(ORAL)

Petitioner assails the order dated 13.08.2019 (Annexure P-1) as also all consequential orders emanating thereafter having been passed during the course of execution proceedings arising out of order dated 12.12.2017 (Annexure P-3) passed by the State Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, Punjab in Consumer Complaint No.363 of 2016.

Having heard counsel at length and having perused the pleadings on record, this Court is of the considered view that the instant writ petition is wholly misconceived.

Counsel concedes that against the order dated 12.12.2017 passed by the State Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, Punjab (Annexure P-3) an appeal has been filed before the National Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, New Delhi and which is still pending adjudication.

It is also the admitted factual premise that the National Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, New Delhi in the appeal preferred by the petitioner herein has passed interim order dated 29.08.2019 staying the operation of the order dated 12.12.2017 of the State Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, Punjab subject to deposit of 50% of the decretal amount before the State Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, Punjab within a period of six weeks of the date of passing of the order.

On a specific query having been put counsel concedes that 50% of the decretal amount has not been deposited by the petitioner as of date.

The instant writ petition can only be seen as an attempt to circumvent the interim order dated 29.08.2019 passed by the National Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, New Delhi. Needless to observe that in case the petitioner felt aggrieved of the interim directions issued by the National Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, New Delhi, it would be open for the petitioner to avail of his remedies in accordance with law.

No interference in the matter is called for.

Petition dismissed.

17.10.2019
dss

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No