

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.

(126)

CRWP-928-2019

Date of Decision: October 11, 2019

Mitterpal

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. D.C. Dhaula, Advocate for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J.(Oral)

In the present petition, the grievance raised by the petitioner is that he alongwith other bonded labourers, the details of whom have been given in paragraph 4 of the petition, are being made to work against their wishes in the brick klin being run by respondents No.4 and 5 and in fact the petitioner and other persons, the details of whom have been given in paragraph 4, have been detained by respondents No.4 and 5 and are being forced to work in the brick klin as a bonded labours.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that petitioner and other personnel have not been paid their wages and are starving and respondents No.4 and 5 have committed an offence under the Bonded Labour (Abolition) Act, 1976.

There is nothing in the petition, which indicates that the petitioner has ever approached respondents No. 2 and 3 raising the grievance as being raised in the present petition before filing this petition.

Let petitioner approach respondent No.2 with the grievance, as being raised in the present petition and in case the same is raised,

respondent No.2 is directed to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order within a period of one week of the receipt of the same.

The present petition stands disposed of in above terms.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

October 11, 2019
kanchan

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
Whether reportable: No