

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

RSA No.6600 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision: January 21, 2019

Nachhatar Singh & another

...Appellants

Versus

Punjab State Power Electricity Board, Patiala & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. Amit Kumar Saini, Advocate,
for the appellants.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

CM No.17259-C of 2016

For the reasons mentioned in the application, which is supported by an affidavit, delay of 7 days in re-filing the appeal is condoned.

CM stands disposed of.

RSA No.6600 of 2016

After arguing for some time, realising that the filing of the suit in the court claiming permanent injunction, mandatory injunction and damages with regard to erection of electric poles on the land of the plaintiff in view of the provisions of the Work of Licensees Rule, 2006 was not maintainable, learned counsel for the appellant-plaintiffs, on instructions from one of the plaintiffs present in the Court, seeks withdrawal of the present appeal with liberty to approach the District Magistrate as per the provisions of Rule 3 of 2006 Rules for claiming compensation on various

Regular Second Appeal No.6600 of 2016 (O&M) { 2 }

grounds by taking the benefit of Section 14 of the Limitation Act.

Ordered accordingly.

January 21, 2019
ramesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No