

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-29505-2019

Date of decision: 08.11.2019

Anjali and another

.....Petitioners

vs.

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: - HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR

Present: Petitioners in person with
 Mr. Puneet Bansal, Advocate for the petitioners.

 Mr. Saurabh Girdhar, AAG, Haryana.

 Mr. Junaid Singh Bakshi, Advocate,
 for respondents No. 4 to 7.

Sanjay Kumar, J. (ORAL)

 This writ petition was filed seeking a direction to the police authorities of the State of Haryana not to harass the petitioners at the instance of respondents No. 4 to 7, the relations of the first petitioner, Anjali.

 The case of the petitioners is that they want to get married to each other against the wishes of the relations of the first petitioner. They presently seek a direction to the police authorities to protect their lives and liberty from the family members of the first petitioner.

 By order dated 07.10.2019, this Court directed notice of motion so as to enable the parties to explore the possibility of an amicable settlement.

 Today, Mr. Puneet Bansal, learned counsel for the

petitioners, and Mr. Junaid Singh Bakshi, learned counsel for respondents No. 4 to 7, would inform this Court that the parties have entered into a compromise and that the fourth respondent, Bjiender Nagar, the father of the first petitioner, Anjali, is willing to get the petitioners married as per their rites and customs. The fourth respondent, Bijender Nagar, is present in person and orally informed the Court that he has no objection to the relationship of the petitioners and that he would get them married. Petitioners are present in person and state that they have no objection to the closure of the writ petition.

Recording the settlement arrived by and between the parties, the writ petition is disposed of.

No order as to costs.

08.11.2019

rakesh

**(SANJAY KUMAR)
JUDGE**

whether speaking/non speaking	:	Yes/no
whether reportable/non reportable	:	Yes/no