

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(128)

CWP-30488-2019

Date of Decision: October 22, 2019

Ram Chander and others

.. Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Aazam Khan, Advocate, for
Mr. Parveen Bhardwaj, Advocate, for the petitioners.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

Petitioners have approached this Court seeking grant of the increment for the service which they have rendered continuously for a period of one year before they superannuated on 30.06.2013, 30.06.2019 and 30.06.2018 as the case may be.

Counsel for the petitioners states that benefit of the increment has not been allowed to the petitioners on the ground that the increment became due on 01.07.2013, 01.07.2019 and 01.07.2018 respectively and on the said date the petitioners were not in service and therefore, the petitioners are not entitled for the benefit of increment.

Counsel for the petitioners contends that the increment is to be granted for the service rendered for the year which the petitioners rendered prior to the retirement and hence, denial of increment for which they are entitled for is contrary to the law laid by the judgment of Madras High Court attached as Annexure P-2 which has been upheld by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India.

Counsel for the petitioners further states that the petitioners will be satisfied at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the

respondents to decide their claim raised in the representation dated 25.06.2019 (Annexure P-1).

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioners for the relief which has been claimed by them in the representation dated 25.06.2019 (Annexure P-1), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the representation dated 25.06.2019 (Annexure P-1) within a period of three months from the receipt of copy of this order.

In case, it is found that the petitioners are entitled for any monetary benefits after the decision of the representation, the same should also be paid to them within three months thereafter.

Present writ petition stands disposed of.

October 22, 2019
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No