

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

111+112+113

(1) **RFA No.4135 of 2017 (O&M)**
Ranjen M. VermaAppellant
Versus
Authority under Land AcquisitionRespondent
and

(2) **RFA No.4251 of 2017 (O&M)**
Meenu VermaAppellant
Versus
Authority under Land AcquisitionRespondent
and

(3) **RFA No.1651 of 2017 (O&M)**
Mukhtyar Singh and anotherAppellants
Versus
Chandigarh Administration and othersRespondents
and

(4) **RFA No.1649 of 2017 (O&M)**
Parminder Singh Kohli through sub-general power of attorney Smt.
Swaranjit KohliAppellant
Versus
Chandigarh Administration and othersRespondents

Date of decision:03.04.2019

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SANDHAWALIA

Present: Mr. Davinder Lubana, Advocate
for the appellants.

Ms. Madhu Dayal, Advocate and
Mr. Tarun Walia, Advocate for UT, Chandigarh.

G.S. SANDHAWALIA, J. (ORAL)**Applications for placing on record and disposal**

Applications have been filed for disposal of the main appeals in terms of the judgment dated 11.02.2019 passed in RFA No.2680 of 2012 titled as **Ishar Singh Vs. Union Territory, Chandigarh** (Annexure AX) as it is submitted that the present set of appeals is also covered by the said judgment.

Notice of the applications.

Ms. Madhu Dayal, Advocate and Mr. Tarun Walia, Advocate accept notice on behalf of UT, Chandigarh.

In view of the averments made, duly supported by the affidavits and in view of the judgment passed in Ishar Singh's case (supra), applications are allowed and the main cases are taken up today itself.

Main cases

The present appeals were admitted and were ordered to be heard along with the bunch of 170 cases which have already been disposed of along with Ishar Singh's case (supra). The amount awarded by the Reference Court, Chandigarh vide award dated 21.09.2011 is Rs.12,60,096/- per acre for the notification dated 01.10.2002 issued under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (for short, the Act) for village Manimajra, UT, Chandigarh.

This Court in Ishar Singh's case (supra) has modified the award dated 21.09.2011 and granted uniform compensation of Rs.26,02,807/- per acre apart from assessing the market value of three other notifications. The relief clause reads as under:

“53. Accordingly, the present appeals are allowed, in the following terms:

(i) Uniform compensation is granted, by modifying the awards dated 21.09.2011, 12.05.2012, 07.09.2012, 19.09.2012, 26.02.2013, 16.03.2013, 12.02.2015 and 09.10.2015, by awarding Rs.26,02,807/- per acre, for the notification dated 01.10.2002.

(ii) For the notification dated 20.02.2003, the market value is assessed @ Rs.35,71,200/- per acre.

(iii) For the notification dated 02.06.2004, the market value is assessed @ Rs.42,90,845.

(iv) Lastly, for the fourth notification dated 03.08.2007, the market value is assessed @ Rs.56,82,730/- per acre.

54. The Administration shall comply with the directions issued in 'HSI IDC Vs. Pran Sukh' (2010) 11 SCC 175 and the above amount shall carry all the statutory benefits except as denied by the Reference Court qua the amount for the fruit bearing trees, non-fruit bearing trees, super-structures and tubewells.”

Counsels for UT, Chandigarh also could not dispute the said factual aspect.

Accordingly, the present appeals are also disposed of in the same terms. All the pending civil miscellaneous applications, if any are also disposed of.

03.04.2019
pvd

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No