

COCN No. 3485 of 2019

DECIDED ON: NOVEMBER 26, 2019

SATNAM KAUR

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

SARVJIT SINGH AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN.

Present: Mr. B.S. Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Aditya Sharda, AAG, Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (ORAL)

The writ petition filed by the petitioner for releasing the retiral benefits accrued to her husband was disposed of on 01.03.2019. Respondents were directed to decide the representation dated 05.07.2018 within a period of three months from the receipt of certified copy of the order. It was further ordered that in case the petitioner is entitled for any monetary benefits, the same should also be paid to her within three months thereafter.

Present contempt petition is filed pleading that the needful is not done.

Pursuant to notice of motion, learned State counsel filed reply by way of an affidavit of Mr. Sarvjit Singh, Principal Secretary, Department of Irrigation, Government of Punjab, same is taken on record. Copy handed over to the learned counsel for the petitioner.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from Mr. Kartar Chand, Executive Engineer, Mansa Division IB, Jawaharke, submits that the payment due towards the petitioner as per annexure R-2 annexed with the reply has been credited in the account of the petitioner and in case not done same will be credited within ten days from today.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that amounts have not been correctly calculated, rather still there is certain amount due.

To put an end to a long drawn litigation, let petitioner file a detailed representation alongwith her detailed calculation. On doing so, the respondent shall decide the same after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner. Needful shall be done within four weeks from receipt of representation. In case any amount is found due to the petitioner the same would be credited in her account within four weeks thereafter.

In view of above, the present petition is disposed of.

Needless to say that in case the petitioner is aggrieved of the order passed by the respondent on her representation, she would be at liberty to avail remedy in accordance with law.

NOVEMBER 26, 2019
sham

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>

COCN No. 3485 of 2019

DECIDED ON: NOVEMBER 26, 2019

SATNAM KAUR

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

SARVJIT SINGH AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN.

Present: Mr. B.S. Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Aditya Sharda, AAG, Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (ORAL)

The writ petition filed by the petitioner for releasing the retiral benefits accrued to her husband was disposed of on 01.03.2019. Respondents were directed to decide the representation dated 05.07.2018 within a period of three months from the receipt of certified copy of the order. It was further ordered that in case the petitioner is entitled for any monetary benefits, the same should also be paid to her within three months thereafter.

Present contempt petition is filed pleading that the needful is not done.

Pursuant to notice of motion, learned State counsel filed reply by way of an affidavit of Mr. Sarvjit Singh, Principal Secretary, Department of Irrigation, Government of Punjab, same is taken on record. Copy handed over to the learned counsel for the petitioner.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from Mr. Kartar Chand, Executive Engineer, Mansa Division IB, Jawaharke, submits that the payment due towards the petitioner as per annexure R-2 annexed with the reply, has been credited in the account of the petitioner and in case not done same will be credited within ten days from today.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that amounts have not been correctly calculated, rather still there is certain amount due.

To put an end to a long drawn litigation, let petitioner file a detailed representation alongwith her detailed calculation. On doing so, the respondent shall decide the same after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner. Needful shall be done within four weeks from receipt of representation. In case any amount is found due to the petitioner the same would be credited in her account within four weeks thereafter.

In view of above, the present petition is disposed of.

Needless to say that in case the petitioner is aggrieved of the order passed by the respondent on her representation, she would be at liberty to avail remedy in accordance with law.

NOVEMBER 26, 2019
sham

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>