

109

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-43515-2019
Date of decision-16.10.2019**

Gurcharan Lal

....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. S.S.Momi, Advocate for the petitioner.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.

The petitioner has challenged the order dated 17.09.2019 whereby Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Kurukshetra has refused to exercise the jurisdiction under Section 156(3) Cr.P.C and fixed the case for complainant's evidence.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the impugned order warrants interference. The Court has refused to exercise the jurisdiction under Section 156(3) Cr.P.C.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court does not find any reason to interfere with the impugned order dated 17.09.2019 as the provisions under Section 156 (3) Cr.P.C are not mandatory in nature. The trial Court has carefully examined the contents of the complaint, while observing that the alleged incident pertains to the year 2015 whereas the complaint to the Police was made in the year 2019. It may be noticed that the trial Court has fixed the case for examination of complainant as

contemplated under Section 200 Cr.P.C.

In view of the above, no ground is made out to invoke inherent powers under Section 482 Cr.P.C.

Resultantly, petition is dismissed.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

16.10.2019
vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No