

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-32088-2019

Date of decision: 5.11.2019

Hari and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr.Rajesh Bansal, Advocate for the petitioners

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for issuance of direction to the official respondents to allocate appropriate share of the agricultural land to the newly established Gram Panchayat Toki from erstwhile Panchayat land of village Manoli to have their own source of income to carry out the development work for the villagers.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage, he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2 – Director General,, Panchayats, Haryana to consider and decide the legal notice dated 20.4.2019 (Annexure P-5) expeditiously.

Heard.

A complete set of paper book has been handed over to Mr.Ayuwan Singh, AAG, Haryana in the Court today.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 – Director General,, Panchayats, Haryana to consider and decide the legal notice dated 20.4.2019 (Annexure P-5) in accordance with law within six weeks from the date of receipt of the certified copy of the judgment. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioners is admissible to them, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to them, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioners is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

5.11.2019
gsv

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned?
Whether reportable?

Yes / No
Yes / No