

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-43480-2019 (O&M)

Date of decision: 05.12.2019

Tarlochan Singh

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. G.S. Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Jagmohan Ghumman, DAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.180 dated 31.07.2019 under Sections 465, 467, 468, 420, 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station City Faridkot, District Faridkot.

While granting interim bail to the petitioner, following order was passed by this Court on 15.10.2019: -

“...Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the Additional Sessions Judge vide order dated 07.08.2019 has granted the concession of interim anticipatory bail noticing the fact that the petitioner – Tarlochan Singh is a witness on the agreement of sell and is not a beneficiary of the same. It is further submitted that later on, the anticipatory bail application of the petitioner was

dismissed on account of the fact that the petitioner has not joined the investigation. It is further argued that the petitioner is still ready to join the investigation and co-operate throughout...”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in pursuance of the aforesaid order, the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for any further investigation.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Lakhbir Singh, has not disputed the factual position and states that the petitioner is no more required for any further investigation.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 15.10.2019 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

[ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN]
JUDGE

05.12.2019
vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No