

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No.29425 of 2019

Date of Decision: October 14, 2019

Sunita

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. S.K.Malik, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the present writ petition is for issuance of a writ of mandamus directing respondent No.2 to consider and promote the petitioner to the post of TGT Sanskrit with all consequential benefits.

Learned counsel contends that the petitioner, at this stage, would be satisfied if a direction is issued to the respondents to consider and decide the legal notice dated 16.08.2019 (Annexure P-6) served by her, within some specified time.

In view of the statement made by the counsel for the petitioner and without going into the merit of the case or commenting thereon, the present writ petition is disposed of with directions to the respondents to decide the legal notice dated 16.08.2019 (Annexure P-6) in terms of Annexures P-7 and P-8, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case the claim of the petitioner is to be accepted, the consequential benefits, if any, be released to her, in accordance with law and if the claim of the petitioner is not to be accepted, then a well reasoned

and speaking order be passed and conveyed to the petitioner forthwith.

It is made clear that in case the legal notice is not decided within two months, there shall be costs of ₹ 25,000/- to be paid to the petitioner.

October 14, 2019
ramesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No