

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-29519-2019

Date of Decision:-30.10.2019.

Shalu and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Union Territory, through its Secretary, Department of Home
Affairs, Chandigarh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR

Present: Mr. Sudesh Sahi, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Lalit K. Gupta, Additional Public Prosecutor
U.T. Chandigarh for respondent Nos.1 to 3.

SANJAY KUMAR, J. (Oral)

By way of this writ petition, the petitioners sought a direction to the police authorities of the Union Territory of Chandigarh to provide them adequate security and protection as they apprehended a threat from the unofficial respondents, the family members of the first petitioner.

While ordering notice of motion on 9.10.2019, this Court directed the Senior Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh, the second respondent, to take necessary steps to ensure that the lives and liberty of the petitioners were not jeopardized at the hands of the unofficial respondents.

The Assistant Sub-Inspector of Police, Police Post-24, Chandigarh, submitted a report wherein he stated that during the course of an inquiry, the

petitioners stated that initially the family members of the first petitioner

were not agreeable to their relationship and marriage but thereafter, they have accepted it. They further stated that due to this development, they do not perceive any danger from the family members and that they were residing together peacefully.

Mr. Lalit K. Gupta, learned counsel appearing for the police authorities, reiterates the stand taken in the aforestated report. In the light of the above referred development, the cause in this writ petition no longer survives for adjudication.

The writ petition is accordingly dismissed.

No order as to costs.

(SANJAY KUMAR)
JUDGE

October 30, 2019.

sandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No.